IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 20831 of 2004**

Between:

1 Kallam Veeranjaneyulu, S/o Late Chandraiah,
2 Kallam Madhavi Rani, D/o late Chandraiah,
3 Kallam Basava Kumari, D/o late Chandraiah,
4 Kallam Sai Chander Rao, S/o late Chandraiah,
All occ: Agriculture, r/o Tekulaboru Village, Kunavaram Mandal, Khammam District.

**..... PETITIONERS**

AND

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kunavaram,Khammam District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Inspector, Kunavaram Mandal, Kunavaram,Khammam District.
3 Telagani Narayanamma, w/o Late Mamidi Seetaramaiah,
R/o Kunavaram, Khammam District.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue such appropriate Writ, Order or Direction to the respondents more so particularly in the nature of Certiorari calling for the records pertaining to the panchanama dated 19-10-2004 prepared by the 2nd respondent at the instance of the 1st respondent and quash the same after declaring the same as arbitrary, illegal, without authority and in violation of principles of natural justice and as such violation of Article 14 of Constitution of India.

**Counsel for the Petitioners:MR.HARI SREEDHAR**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1&2: GP FOR REVENUE**

**The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following :**

**ORDER**

In the earlier Writ Petition filed by the petitioners in W.P.No.19283 of 2003, this Court while dismissing the writ petition on 26.10.2004 permitted the petitioners to move an appropriate application in C.R.P.No.3520 of 2003, which is pending before this Court, to maintain their possession in the light of the status quo orders granted therein.

If the petitioners are in possession of the land in question as on the date of status quo order granted in CRP No.3520 of 2003 and if their possession is likely to be disturbed in view of the impugned panchanama dated 19.10.2004 prepared by the second respondent, they can very well seek appropriate orders in the above CRP contending that they should not be dispossessed from the said property as per the status quo order granted thereunder. I see no grounds to entertain the writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

A. GOPAL REDDY. J.

11th November, 2004

tsr.

To

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kunavaram,Khammam District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Inspector, Kunavaram Mandal, Kunavaram,Khammam District.
3. Two C.C.s to Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.(OUT)

4. Two C.D. copies.